

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/891,471	06/27/2001	Masakazu Ogasawara	041514-5130	1389		
55694	55694 7590 12/21/2005 EXAMINER					
	BIDDLE & REATH (I	PSITOS, ARISTOTELIS M				
1500 K STRE SUITE 1100	ET, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20005-1209	2656				
			DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/891,471	OGASAWARA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Aristotelis M. Psitos	2656	

	Aristotelis M. Psitos	2656	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 02 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.	1	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
B. 🛛 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con			
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloge) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	• •	ducing or simplifying t	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>see below</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,7 and 8</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	,		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	il and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
0. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after en	try is below or attach	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but see below.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No	o(s)	
3. Other:		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		Aristotelis M Psitos	A)
		Primary Examiner /	i UTIA

Primary Examinate Art Unit: 2656

Continuation of .items 3a and c: The amendment to the claim alters the scope of the invention previously examined and brought to a final rejection as indicated in the previous OA. Since the amendment alters the scope, and such a scope requires more than a cursory review of the art developed, as well as a new search, under present USPTO practice such amendments are not entertained at the present time juncture. If applicants' desire such a scope of protection, then the examiner strongly recommends the filing of a RCE with such a scope/amendment. All arguments drawn to the non-entered amendments are not controlling since the amendment has not been entered.

Continuation of item 11: a) With respect to the 112 rejection, the examiner maintains the rejection under 112. As filed and disclosed the lower limit is identified. Whether no lower limit, i.e., presumidly a value less than 10 micrometers squared, is not only open to interpretation, but requires more than a cursory review of the prosecution history and emphasis of the previously examiner invention and brought to a final rejection. b) With respect to the arguments agains the 103 predicated upon the prior art as established in the FR, they are drawn to non-entered limitation and hence not germane to the position presented in the FR.